STEVEN G. KALAR 1 Federal Public Defender 2 LARA S. VINNARD Assistant Federal Public Defender 3 55 S. Market Street, Suite 820 EÒËZ(ŠÒÖÁÄHE) EFÍE San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 291-7753 4 5 Counsel for Defendant FEATHERS 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SAN JOSE DIVISION 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 14-0531 RMW 11 Plaintiff, STIPULATION TO CONTINUE 12 **HEARING AND EXCLUDE TIME;** [] ORDER v. 13 MARK FEATHERS, 14 Honorable Ronald M. Whyte 15 Defendant. 16 17 Defendant Mark Feathers and the government, through their respective counsel, hereby 18 stipulate that, subject to the Court's approval, the status hearing in the above-captioned matter, 19 presently scheduled for Monday, March 30, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., be continued to Monday, April 20, 20 2015, at 9:00 a.m. The continuance is requested because undersigned defense counsel has recently 21 substituted into the case and is not available on March 30. Accordingly, the delay is requested for 22 continuity of counsel and to allow effective preparation of counsel. 23 For the Court's information, undersigned defense counsel will be acting as counsel of record 24 until approximately mid-June, at which time the matter will be reassigned within the Federal Public 25 Defender's Office to an attorney who will be joining the office, and undersigned counsel will 26 STIP. TO CONTINUE; [] ORDER 1 No. CR 14-0531 RMW

2 3

1

456

8

7

10

11

Dated: 3/25/15

Dated: 3/25/15

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

STIP. TO CONTINUE; [] ORDER No. CR 14-0531 RMW

continue on the case in a research capacity. The Federal Public Defender's Office does not anticipate that these staffing issues will disrupt the defense's preparation of the case.

The parties further agree that time should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act because the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. The parties therefore stipulate that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

LARA S. VINNARD

Counsel for Mark Feathers

TIMOTHY LUCEY Assistant United States Attorney

[] ORDER

The parties have jointly requested a continuance of the hearing set for Monday, March 30, 2015, for continuity of counsel in light of a recent substitution of defense counsel. GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing date presently set for Monday, March 30, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., is continued to Monday, April 20, 2015, at 9:00 a.m.

For good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act from March 30, 2015 to April 20, 2015. The Court finds, based on the aforementioned reasons, that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the parties continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel

Case 5:14-cr-00531-LHK Document 19 Filed 03/27/15 Page 3 of 3

reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv). Dated: HBOÏ ⊞FÍ United States District Judge STIP. TO CONTINUE; [] ORDER

No. CR 14-0531 RMW